Landmarks Commission

RE: 219 E Washington-Additional Consideration

On June 10, 2020 the Commission met to discuss the stay on demolition of 219 E Washington. I listened to the discussion of two such meetings, and I heard **clearly** both times that the Commission wants not only to preserve properties but to also to preserve their power. It seemed that the means to preserve this power is to default to 270 days almost without exception.

I also heard Mr Riley state that in essence if you want to demolish a house in a historical Kirkwood district the default was to make it painful.

Rightfully, the Kirkwood residents are invited to weigh in on these proceedings to offer opinion. I'm not sure if the invitation to hear resident's opinion is simply a token gesture or has any honest value to the Commissioners. During this COVID period, the meeting was held in video style. I noted that only two people bothered to attend in this video format and assume most folks would not easily navigate this meeting in this approach and you would not expect a big turn out.

To get a fair weigh in , I took upon myself a polling of the most effected residents as to this property , specifically those who actually live on the street and adjoining the property in question.

I attach the response to my inquiry. The poll returned an unanimous opinion from those who responded.

Again, this house is the <u>sole</u> property in what is deemed for some reason a historical district. There are no other historical properties on either side of the street from Taylor to Fillmore.

I also note that the Commission did not meet in corporate fashion as is, I thought customary, at the property to discuss its character and condition, which lends itself to a better deliberation. And not one Commissioner actually went inside the dwelling. It felt like the whole matter just went to the default mode.

As responsible decision makers, with a fudiciary responsibility to act with informed fairness, it is expected that the Commission should and would want to also then listen to the most affected parties. Listening is indeed important for all parties. So, this one might warrant additional consideration.

Respectfully Jim Mills



J. Gregory Keller ChFC® Chief Executive Officer

5700 Oakland Avenue Suite 400 St. Louis, MO 63110

Main: 314-932-4300 Direct: 314-932-4200 Fax: 314-569-2109

Greg.Keller@rfconline.com www.renaissancefinancial.com

Investments • Planning • Insurance

Landmarks Commission City of Kirkwood

Re: 219 E Washington Ave.

To whom it may concern,

As a resident of E Washington Ave, I am writing to express my approval with regards to demolishing the home at 219 E Washington Ave.

The property in question is directly across the street from my home and is an eyesore at best. Therefore, it is my position that the stay on demolition be lifted.

Sincerely,

J. Gregory Keller

Resident

218 E Washington Avenue Kirkwood, MO 63122

July 21, 2020

Landmarks Commission
City Of Kirkwood

Re: 219 E Washington

To whom it may concern:

I am a resident of Kirkwood and Specifically have a home on E Washington it what is deemed a historical district.

The Home at 219 E Washington has been considered for demolition and a formal application has been made.

At the Regular July meeting of Landmark Commission this property was deliberated as to lifting the stay having been previously rested for the mandatory 60 day stay.

The community is invited to offer opinion for or against any such further stay.

During the COVID pandemic the meeting was held in a remote video style manner.

Many residents including myself would not tend to navigate this type of meeting, and the record shows only 2 people offered an opinion. To be fair , additional opinions can and should be considered.

It is my understanding that the commission did not collectively gather to inspect the property in usual fashion and never even entered the dwelling for appropriate and diligent review.

So I present the following opinion on the matter of lifting the stay.

This home is indeed old but the street is not in anyway a historical community of homes and this home is but a stand alone. Its age and poor condition does not compel me to give any reason to try to protect it from removal.

Brent D. Butler

I offer this opinion on the stay and that is to <u>lift the stay</u> so as to not be a waste of valuable time and money and approve the demolition.

Submitted

Mimi A. Bettler

63122

Landmarks Commission

RE: 219 E Washington-Additional Consideration

On June 10, 2020 the Commission met to discuss the stay on demolition of 219 E Washington. I listened to the discussion of two such meetings, and I heard **clearly** both times that the Commission wants not only to preserve properties but to also to preserve their power. It seemed that the means to preserve this power is to default to 270 days almost without exception.

I also heard Mr Riley state that in essence if you want to demolish a house in a historical Kirkwood district the default was to make it painful.

Rightfully, the Kirkwood residents are invited to weigh in on these proceedings to offer opinion. I'm not sure if the invitation to hear resident's opinion is simply a token gesture or has any honest value to the Commissioners . During this COVID period, the meeting was held in video style. I noted that only two people bothered to attend in this video format and assume most folks would not easily navigate this meeting in this approach and you would not expect a big turn out.

To get a fair weigh in , I took upon myself a polling of the most effected residents as to this property , specifically those who actually live on the street and adjoining the property in question.

I attach the response to my inquiry. The poll returned an unanimous opinion.

Again, this house is the <u>sole</u> property in what is deemed for some reason a historical district. There are no other historical properties on either side of the street from Taylor to Fillmore.

I also note that the Commission did not meet in corporate fashion as is, I thought customary, at the property to discuss its character and condition, which lends itself to a better deliberation. And not one Commissioner actually went inside the dwelling. It felt like the whole matter just went to the default mode.

As responsible decision makers, with a fudiciary responsibility to act with informed fairness, it is expected that the Commission should and would want to also then listen to the most affected parties. Listening is indeed important for all parties. So, this one might warrant additional consideration.

Respectfully, Jim Mills

July 21, 2020

Landmarks Commission
City Of Kirkwood

Re: 219 E Washington

To whom it may concern:

I am a resident of Kirkwood and Specifically have a home on E Washington it what is deemed a historical district.

The Home at 219 E Washington has been considered for demolition and a formal application has been made.

At the Regular July meeting of Landmark Commission this property was deliberated as to lifting the stay having been previously rested for the mandatory 60 day stay.

The community is invited to offer opinion for or against any such further stay.

During the COVID pandemic the meeting was held in a remote video style manner.

Many residents including myself would not tend to navigate this type of meeting, and the record shows only 2 people offered an opinion. To be fair, additional opinions can and should be considered.

It is my understanding that the commission did not collectively gather to inspect the property in usual fashion and never even entered the dwelling for appropriate and diligent review.

So I present the following opinion on the matter of lifting the stay.

This home is indeed old but the street is not in anyway a historical community of homes and this home is but a stand alone. Its age and poor condition does not compel me to give any reason to try to protect it from removal.

I offer this opinion on the stay and that is to <u>lift the stay</u> so as to not be a waste of valuable time and money and approve the demolition.

Submitted

Name: Dostin Chalfant

231 E Washington Hve

Kilkword MO 65/22 ADDRESS

Re: 219 E Washington

To whom it may concern:

I am a resident of Kirkwood and Specifically have a home on E Washington it what is deemed a historical district.

The Home at 219 E Washington has been considered for demolition and a formal application has been made.

At the Regular July meeting of Landmark Commission this property was deliberated as to lifting the stay having been previously rested for the mandatory 60 day stay.

The community is invited to offer opinion for or against any such further stay.

During the COVID pandemic the meeting was held in a remote video style manner.

Many residents including myself would not tend to navigate this type of meeting, and the record shows only 2 people offered an opinion. To be fair, additional opinions can and should be considered.

It is my understanding that the commission did not collectively gather to inspect the property in usual fashion and never even entered the dwelling for appropriate and diligent review.

So I present the following opinion on the matter of lifting the stay.

This home is indeed old but the street is not in anyway a historical community of homes and this home is but a stand alone. Its age and poor condition does not compel me to give any reason to try to protect it from removal.

I offer this opinion on the stay and that is to <u>lift the stay</u> so as to not be a waste of valuable time and money and approve the demolition.

Submitted

Name:

215 E, WASHINGTOADDRESS

APT. 2E

Re: 219 E Washington

To whom it may concern:

I am a resident of Kirkwood and Specifically have a home on E Washington it what is deemed a historical district.

The Home at 219 E Washington has been considered for demolition and a formal application has been made.

At the Regular July meeting of Landmark Commission this property was deliberated as to lifting the stay having been previously rested for the mandatory 60 day stay.

The community is invited to offer opinion for or against any such further stay.

During the COVID pandemic the meeting was held in a remote video style manner.

Many residents including myself would not tend to navigate this type of meeting, and the record shows only 2 people offered an opinion. To be fair, additional opinions can and should be considered.

It is my understanding that the commission did not collectively gather to inspect the property in usual fashion and never even entered the dwelling for appropriate and diligent review.

So I present the following opinion on the matter of lifting the stay.

This home is indeed old but the street is not in anyway a historical community of homes and this home is but a stand alone. Its age and poor condition does not compel me to give any reason to try to protect it from removal.

I offer this opinion on the stay and that is to <u>lift the stay</u> so as to not be a waste of valuable time and money and approve the demolition.

Submitted

Name:

ADDRESS

Re: 219 E Washington

To whom it may concern:

I am a resident of Kirkwood and Specifically have a home on E Washington it what is deemed a historical district.

The Home at 219 E Washington has been considered for demolition and a formal application has been made.

At the Regular July meeting of Landmark Commission this property was deliberated as to lifting the stay having been previously rested for the mandatory 60 day stay.

The community is invited to offer opinion for or against any such further stay.

During the COVID pandemic the meeting was held in a remote video style manner.

Many residents including myself would not tend to navigate this type of meeting, and the record shows only 2 people offered an opinion. To be fair, additional opinions can and should be considered.

It is my understanding that the commission did not collectively gather to inspect the property in usual fashion and never even entered the dwelling for appropriate and diligent review.

So I present the following opinion on the matter of lifting the stay.

This home is indeed old but the street is not in anyway a historical community of homes and this home is but a stand alone. Its age and poor condition does not compel me to give any reason to try to protect it from removal.

I offer this opinion on the stay and that is to <u>lift the stay</u> so as to not be a waste of valuable time and money and approve the demolition.

Submitted

Name.

_ADDRESS

Re: 219 E Washington

To whom it may concern:

I am a resident of Kirkwood and Specifically have a home on E Washington it what is deemed a historical district.

The Home at 219 E Washington has been considered for demolition and a formal application has been made.

At the Regular July meeting of Landmark Commission this property was deliberated as to lifting the stay having been previously rested for the mandatory 60 day stay.

The community is invited to offer opinion for or against any such further stay.

During the COVID pandemic the meeting was held in a remote video style manner.

Many residents including myself would not tend to navigate this type of meeting, and the record shows only 2 people offered an opinion. To be fair, additional opinions can and should be considered.

It is my understanding that the commission did not collectively gather to inspect the property in usual fashion and never even entered the dwelling for appropriate and diligent review.

So I present the following opinion on the matter of lifting the stay.

This home is indeed old but the street is not in anyway a historical community of homes and this home is but a stand alone. Its age and poor condition does not compel me to give any reason to try to protect it from removal.

I offer this opinion on the stay and that is to <u>lift the stay</u> so as to not be a waste of valuable time and money and approve the demolition.

Submitted

Name: Oumara Droderse

ADDRESS

Sarcino Co

ZoningCity of Kirkwood Zoning Codes

V= LIFT STAY



